

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/042,319	SUGAYA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	LaToya C. Younger	1743	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) LaToya C. Younger. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Attorney Daniel Williams. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 11 May 2006.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: \_\_\_\_\_.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Attorney Daniel Williams argued that the means-plus-function claim language requires 1) identification of prior art that teaches the claimed functional language, followed by identification of a corresponding structure. Applicants argue that identifying corresponding structure w/out the function would be improperly construing means plus function language according to In re Donaldson + MPEP 2182, 2183.

Applicants will file response stating their intent as to the "corresponding structure" of "means for compensating for fluctuation...". Examiner will

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required